I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed via EFS-Web with the United States Patent and Trademark Office

May 11, 2006

Docket No.: 014801-001420US Client Ref. No.: PD495

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Confirmation No.: 4278

David W. Andrews, et al.

Examiner: Igor N. Borissov

Application No.: 10/081,556

Art Unit: 3639

Filed: February 20, 2002

COMMUNICATION - INTERVIEW SUMMARY

For: TRANSIT BEST FARE SYSTEM

AND METHOD

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Interview Summary mailed April 20, 2006.

Thus this reply is believed to be timely filed and no extension of time or fee is believed to be necessary. In that Summary, the Examiner stated the interview was conducted between himself and Steven M. Jensen as Applicant's representative. Please note that the telephonic interview that took place on March 27, 2006 was between Patent Owner's attorney representative, Raymond B. Hom, and Examiner Igor Borissov.

Interview Summary

Participants

A telephonic interview was conducted on March 27, 2006 between Patent Owner's attorney representative, Raymond B. Hom, and Examiner Igor Borissov (the

David W. Andrews, et al. Application No.: 10/081,556 Page 2

interview participants). Applicant thanks the Examiner for the telephone conference discussing the objections to the claims.

Exhibits

No exhibits were shown nor were any demonstrations conducted during the course of the interview

Claims Discussed

The interview participants discussed claims 19, 28, 36, and 39. No prior art references were discussed during the course of the interview.

Substance of Interview

In the telephone conversation of March 27, 2006, the Examiner objected to claims 19 and 36 because the claims include elements as "processors for" performing a function. The Examiner suggests that the language should be "processors configured to" perform a function.

The interview participants reached an agreement, and Applicant authorized the Examiner to enter an Examiner's amendment in accordance with the amendments to claims 19 and 36 shown above. The amendments changed the text adjacent the "processor" terms, such that the processors are "configured to" perform a function.

> Respectfully submitted, PLBA

Raymond B. Hom Reg. No. 44,773

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834 Tel: (415) 576-0200

Fax: (415) 576-0300 RBH:io

60763410 v1